

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/500,647	07/01/2004	Shigeru Sugaya	SONYJP 3.3-1050	2859	
530 LERNER DA	7590 07/16/2010 VID, LITTENBERG,	EXAMINER			
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST			ANDREWS, LEON T		
WESTFIELD,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2462		
			WAY DATE	DEL HERMANDE	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/500,647	SUGAYA, SHIGER			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	LEON ANDREWS	2462			

	LEON ANDREWS	2462					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 12 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following I application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request				
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> </ul>	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,196(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,196(a) and the appropriate setantion fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate setantion that have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate setantion under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (a) above. If checked, Ayr pepty preceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENING.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO) w);	TE below);					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying ti	he issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1:		ected claims.					
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		Inpliant Amendment (	1 101-324).				
Applicant's reply has overcome the tollowing rejection(s):     Applicant's reply has overcome the tollowing rejection(s):     Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling in non-allowable claim(s).							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
<ol> <li>☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
/Seema S. Rao/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2462							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: In amendment after final filed July 12, 2010, applicant has amended claims 1 and 9-20. And, as a result, the scope of claims 1, 9 and 20 was changed and this would warrant additional searching to further prosecute the application as so amended.